

Warsaw, 29 January 2016

The Helsinki Foundation for Human Rights (HFHR) is one of the oldest non-governmental organisations in Poland dealing with the protection of human rights and fundamental freedoms. As part of its activity, HFHR monitors the standards of human rights protection. The following analysis and opinions were prepared by HFHR experts.

**Main issues:**

1. Constitutional crisis in Poland
2. Judgments of the Constitutional Tribunal concerning the amendment to the Act on the Constitutional Tribunal
3. Changes in public media
4. Changes to the Act on Police
5. Changes in the justice system – reunification of the Offices of the Minister of Justice and Prosecutor General
6. Summary of events
7. Summary of the Act on the Constitutional Tribunal and the Act on public media
8. Public discussion on the role and position of the Constitutional Tribunal
9. Reactions of international bodies to the amendments in the Constitutional Tribunal and media law in Poland
10. Additional notes
11. Conclusions

## 1. Constitutional crisis in Poland

### Election of new judges of the Constitutional Court – based on the Act of June 2015

In June 2015, the Parliament adopted the Act on the Constitutional Court, which entered into force on 30 August 2015. It allowed the Sejm (the lower chamber of the Parliament) of the 7<sup>th</sup> term to appoint 5 new judges to the Constitutional Court.<sup>1</sup> The newly appointed judges were supposed to replace three judges whose tenures expired on 6 November 2015 and two judges whose tenures expired on 2 and 8 December 2015. At the same time, the Sejm's term of office ended at the turn of October and November 2015.<sup>2</sup> On 8 October 2015, the Sejm (during its last session as the Sejm of the 7<sup>th</sup> term) adopted five resolutions in which it appointed five new judges of the Constitutional Tribunal<sup>3</sup>.

The HFHR strongly protested against this amendment. HFHR's experts underlined that the appointment of 5 judges in a row would violate the Constitution.<sup>4</sup>

Before the parliamentary elections took place in Poland, the parliamentary opposition (the Law and Justice party) had filed a motion to the Constitutional Tribunal to verify whether the Act of 25 June 2015 on the Constitutional Tribunal is compatible with the Constitution, i.e. whether the Sejm of the 7<sup>th</sup> term was entitled, under the Constitution, to elect all five judges. This motion was, however, dropped on 10 November 2015 after the elections had already been held and after the date of the hearing had already been announced.<sup>5</sup>

### The President of Poland refused to swear into office the five newly elected judges

According to Article 21 of the Act on the Constitutional Tribunal, the President of Poland is responsible for swearing newly appointed judges into office. After the election of the new judges, the President of Poland did not swear the judges into office. However, the President of Poland expressed his opinion (in a press interview published on 11 November 2015) that the elections of the judges had "violated democratic rules."<sup>6</sup>

### The Parliamentary elections – 25 October 2015

The parliamentary elections in Poland took place on 25 October 2015. The Law and Justice party (*Prawo i sprawiedliwość*) won the elections by gaining almost 38% of votes and 234 seats (out of 460) in Sejm. It was the first time in the last 26 years that one party took over half of the seats in the Parliament. The first session of the newly elected Parliament started on 12 November 2015.

### The first set of amendments to Act on the Constitutional Tribunal

During the first session of the new Parliament (Sejm of the 8<sup>th</sup> term), draft amendments to the Act

---

<sup>1</sup> According to Article 137 of the Act, the Lower Chamber of the Parliament (the Sejm) stated that within 30 days from the Act's entry into force, candidatures for new judges of the Constitutional Court shall be submitted. Additionally, Rules of Sejm as well as Act on Constitutional Court, provide that candidature for judge of the Constitutional Court can be submitted by the Presidium of the Sejm or by the group of 50 Mps.

<sup>2</sup> According to Article 98.1 of the Constitution of Poland, the term of office of the Sejm and Senate shall begin on the day on which the Sejm assembles for its first sitting and shall continue until the day preceding the assembly of the Sejm of the succeeding term of office. On 17 July 2015, the President of Poland decided that the parliamentary elections would be held on 25 October 2015.

<sup>3</sup> Paragraph 2 of each resolution provided that the tenure of each newly elected judge starts, respectively, on 7 November 2015 (three judges), and 3 and 9 December 2015. Resolutions were published in the Official Journal „Monitor Polski”, positions no. 1038-1042.

<sup>4</sup> The summary of the HFHR activity in the relation of changes surrounding the CT is available here: <http://www.hfhr.pl/en/constitutional-tribunal-act-the-monitoring-of-legislative-amendments/>

<sup>5</sup> Case no. K 29/15.

<sup>6</sup> Gazeta Wyborcza, Prezydent Duda: Sposób wyboru sędziów Trybunału Konstytucyjnego naruszył zasady demokracji, available at: [wyborcza.pl/1,75478,19170279,duda-sposob-wyboru-sedziow-trybunalu-konstytucyjnego-naruszyl.html](http://wyborcza.pl/1,75478,19170279,duda-sposob-wyboru-sedziow-trybunalu-konstytucyjnego-naruszyl.html)

on the Constitutional Tribunal were proposed. The amendment was adopted by the Parliament within 3 days,<sup>7</sup> that is, on 19<sup>th</sup> November. The Act was signed by the President on the next day. During the legislative proceedings in the Sejm, no opinion of any expert in the field of constitutional law was heard, even though such a suggestion was made by the Legislative Bureau of the Sejm.

The amendment annulled Article 137 of the Act, which allowed the Sejm of the 7<sup>th</sup> term to elect all five new judges of the Constitutional Tribunal, and established a 7-day timeframe for filing new motions with candidates to take up the office of judges of the Constitutional Tribunal.<sup>8</sup>

On 23 November 2015, a group of MPs filed a complaint to the Constitutional Tribunal, arguing that the Act of 19 November 2015 violated the Constitution.<sup>9</sup> On the same day, the Human Rights Defender also filed his motion with the Constitutional Tribunal.<sup>10</sup> On 24 November 2015, a motion to the Constitutional Tribunal was also filed by the National Council of the Judiciary<sup>11</sup> and on 30 November 2015 by the Chief Justice of the Supreme Court.<sup>12</sup>

### **Elections of new judges of the Constitutional Tribunal**

On 25 November 2015, the Sejm adopted five resolutions (submitted by a group of MPs on the same day) which declared “the lack of legal force” of the resolutions appointing five judges adopted on 8 October 2015. The justification for the resolutions stated that the previous election procedure of Constitutional Tribunal judges was incorrect and the resolutions aim at its validation.<sup>13</sup>

After the “annulment resolutions” were enacted (and published within a few hours), the amendments to the Rules of the Sejm were introduced. These amendments to the Rules of the Sejm allowed the Speaker of the Sejm to establish a deadline for proposing candidates for Constitutional Tribunal judges in case “other circumstances” (than those set out in the Act on the Constitutional Tribunal) for such elections occur.<sup>14</sup> Such a timeframe was established on 1 December 2015 at midday it was however not published officially anywhere.<sup>15</sup>

Five candidatures for new judges were submitted on 1 December 2015.<sup>16</sup> The session of the parliamentary Committee of Justice and Human Rights to present the opinion on the candidatures took place on 1 December 2015 at 8 p.m. During the discussion, the candidates were asked no questions by the MPs – a formal motion was adopted by vote to end the discussion.

On 2 December 2015, after a rough debate at the plenary session, the Sejm elected five new judges. The elections were based on the Rules of Sejm (the Act of 19 November 2015 was to enter into force on 5<sup>th</sup> December 2015). The resolutions were published at 10 p.m. in *Monitor Polski* (official journal where internal resolutions of Sejm are promulgated). On the same day (to be precise – at night, without any media presence), the President of Poland took the oath from the newly elected judges.

---

<sup>7</sup> Act of 19 November 2015 - it was published in the Official Journal a few hours after the President signed the bill.

<sup>8</sup> Article 137a of Act on Constitutional Court. It also introduced a tenure for the President of the Court, which results in the loss of office by the current President (3 months after the amendments enter into force).

<sup>9</sup> Case no. K 35/15.

<sup>10</sup> Case no. K 37/15.

<sup>11</sup> Case no. K 38/15.

<sup>12</sup> Case no. K 40/15.

<sup>13</sup> During the parliamentary discussion on the draft resolutions, it was suggested that the new Parliament needs to change the composition of the Constitutional Court, because the latter is “politically-biased”. It was also stated that the change in the composition of the Constitutional Court is necessary for the parliamentary majority in order to conduct their political reforms.

<sup>14</sup> The Act on the Constitutional Court (Article 36) lists all possible grounds for termination of the office of the Constitutional judge. They were reflected in the Rules of the Sejm (Article 30.3 Rules of Sejm).

<sup>15</sup> The same day, the deadline was prolonged until 6 p.m.

<sup>16</sup> They were submitted only by the Parliamentary Club of “Law and Justice” political party.

## **2. The judgments of the Constitutional Court concerning the amendment to Act on the Constitutional Tribunal**

### **Judgment of 3 December 2015**

The group of MPs filed a motion to the Constitutional Tribunal concerning the Act of 25 June 2015 on the Constitutional Tribunal to verify whether the legal basis for the elections of judges in October 2015 was compatible with the Constitution.<sup>17</sup> The hearing before the Constitutional Tribunal was held on 3 December 2015, just after the President took the oath from the new judges of the Constitutional Tribunal.

The ruling was issued by the panel consisting of five judges.<sup>18</sup> The Tribunal ruled that Article 137 of the Act on the Constitutional Tribunal was a constitutional basis for elections of three judges who were to replace the judges whose tenures expired on 6 November 2015. Whereas in respect of two judges whose terms of office lapsed on the 2 and 8 December 2015, the elections of judges by the Sejm of the 7<sup>th</sup> term were found unconstitutional. Moreover, the Tribunal stated clearly that it is an obligation of the President to swear judges validly elected by the Sejm into office.

### **Judgement of 9 December 2015**

On 9 December 2015, the Constitutional Tribunal held a hearing and announced a judgement in the case concerning the Act of 19 November 2015 amending the Act on the Constitutional Tribunal. The main point of the decision concerned the possibility of the Sejm of the 8<sup>th</sup> term to again elect five new judges of the Constitutional Tribunal.

The Tribunal confirmed that the Sejm of the 7<sup>th</sup> term was entitled to elect three judges, and thus the Sejm of the 8<sup>th</sup> term only two judges. The Tribunal ruled that “Article 137a of the Act on the Constitutional Tribunal<sup>19</sup> – insofar as it concerns putting forward a candidate for a judge of the Constitutional Tribunal to assume the office after the judge whose term of office ended on 6 November 2015 – is inconsistent with Article 194.1 in conjunction with Article 7 of the Constitution.”

Moreover, the Tribunal decided that the introduction of a 3-year tenure for the President and Vice-president of the Tribunal is acceptable. However, the possibility of their re-election for a further tenure violates the Constitution, since it might undermine the independence of the judge. Furthermore, the Tribunal ruled that Article 2 of the Act of 19 November 2015 is unconstitutional. The Article provides that the “terms of office” of the incumbent President and Vice-President of the Constitutional Tribunal shall end after the lapse of three months as of the entry into force of the amending Act. The Tribunal ruled that the challenged provision constitutes unauthorised interference in the realm of the judiciary by the legislator and undermines the principle that the Constitutional Tribunal is independent of the other branches of government (Article 173 of the Constitution). The Tribunal also ruled that the deadline of 30 days for the President to take the oath from the judges elected by the Sejm violates the Constitution. Last but not least, the Tribunal ruled that Article 21 para. 1a of the Act on the Constitutional Tribunal which provides that the taking of the oath of office shall commence the term of office of a judge of the Tribunal is unconstitutional.

### **Dispute concerning the publication of the judgement of the Constitutional Tribunal**

Even though the judgment of the Constitutional Tribunal was announced on 3 December 2015, it was not published for the next 3 weeks. On 10 December 2015, Minister Beata Kempa (Head of the Chancellery of the Prime Minister) sent an official letter to the President of the Tribunal.<sup>20</sup> She argued that, in her opinion, the judgment of the Tribunal of 3 December 2015 was invalid, since the

---

<sup>17</sup> It was the same motion that was dropped by Law and Justice on 10 November 2015.

<sup>18</sup> On 3rd December 2015 the Constitutional Court consisted of 11 judges. Three of them excluded themselves from the panel, since they took part in the legislative process (they attended the sessions of the parliamentary committees) when Act on Constitutional Court was adopted. To proceed in the full panel of the Court, there needs to be at least 9 judges. Since there were only 8 judges, it was decided that the court will rule case in 5-judges panel. During the hearing, there was a motion submitted by the Sejm, since – according to Sejm - new “judges” of the Court were elected and there was no longer a need to rule the case in a 5-judges panel. The Court rejected the motion.

<sup>19</sup> “With regard to judges whose term of office ends in 2015, the time-limit for submitting the motion referred to in Article 19(2) [*what is meant here is a motion to put forward a candidate for a judge of the Constitutional Tribunal*], shall be 7 days as of the entry into force of this provision”.

<sup>20</sup> Available (in Polish) at: [http://trybunal.gov.pl/fileadmin/content/nie-tylko-dla-mediow/Pismo\\_KPRM\\_z\\_10\\_grudnia\\_2015\\_r.pdf](http://trybunal.gov.pl/fileadmin/content/nie-tylko-dla-mediow/Pismo_KPRM_z_10_grudnia_2015_r.pdf).

panel of the Tribunal issued it composed of five judges. Thus, she “suspended” the publication of the judgment.<sup>21</sup>

On 11 December 2015, the President of the Tribunal answered the letter and emphasized the constitutional provisions relevant in this respect:

- according to Article 190.1 of the Constitution, the Court's judgments “shall be of universally binding application and shall be final”;
- according to Article 190.2 of the Constitution, judgments “shall be required to be immediately published in the official publication in which the original normative act was promulgated”.

The judgment of 3 December 2015 was finally published on 16 December 2015<sup>22</sup> and the judgment of 9 December 2015 was published on 18 December 2015.<sup>23</sup>

### **Second set of amendments to the Act on the Constitutional Tribunal**

On 15 December 2015, at 10 p.m., a new draft of the amendment to the Act on the Constitutional Tribunal was announced on the Sejm's website. In the light of the proposed draft, the Constitutional Tribunal would have to rule in all the pending cases as a full panel which shall be composed of at least 13 judges. The draft also stated that the judgments might be adopted only by a majority of 2/3 of votes (whereas Article 190.5 of the Constitution states that “judgments of the Constitutional Tribunal shall be made by a majority of votes”). The draft also included a controversial regulation stating that the Constitutional Tribunal's premises shall be relocated outside Warsaw.<sup>24</sup> Last but not least, the draft stated that if the cases pending before the Tribunal were assigned to a panel of five judges (different than required by the draft of law) they would need to be re-assigned and “initiated again.”

On 17 December 2015, three legal opinions concerning the draft were presented to the Sejm (by the Supreme Court,<sup>25</sup> the Polish Bar Council<sup>26</sup> and the Helsinki Foundation for Human Rights<sup>27</sup>). Also a group of NGOs sent a statement to all MPs arguing that such a fundamental change in the rules of the Constitutional Tribunal should have been consulted with the civil society within a reasonable time.<sup>28</sup>

The first reading of the draft took place on 17 December 2015 and it was decided to transfer the draft to the Legislative Committee of the Sejm (*Komisja Ustawodawcza*). The meeting of the Committee took place on 21 December 2015 and lasted almost 13 hours (with a 1 hour break). During the meeting of the Committee a set of new amendments were proposed, e.g. concerning cases which will have to be decided by the full panel. Moreover, the Committee decided that the Act will enter into force on the day of its announcement in the Official Journal.

The next day, it was adopted by the Sejm at the plenary session. The Senate adopted the bill without any amendments after the whole day of discussions in the parliament commission and at the plenary

---

<sup>21</sup> It is important to notice that the Council of Ministers – participant in the proceedings before the Court – did not file any motion concerning the composition of the Court during the proceeding. Such a motion – to transfer the case to a full panel (consisting of judges elected on 2 December 2015) and postpone the hearing – was filed by the Sejm. During the hearing on 3 December 2015, the Court decided not to accept the motion.

<sup>22</sup> Official Journal, position no. 2129 – <http://dziennikustaw.gov.pl/du/2015/2129/1>.

<sup>23</sup> Official Journal, position no. 2147 – <http://www.dziennikustaw.gov.pl/DU/2015/2147/1>.

<sup>24</sup> This proposal was dropped during the parliamentary discussion.

<sup>25</sup> Available at:

[http://www.sn.pl/aktualnosci/SiteAssets/Lists/Wydarzenia/NewForm/2015.12.16\\_SN\\_Opinia.do.ustawy.o.TK.pdf](http://www.sn.pl/aktualnosci/SiteAssets/Lists/Wydarzenia/NewForm/2015.12.16_SN_Opinia.do.ustawy.o.TK.pdf).

<sup>26</sup> Available at: [http://www.adwokatura.pl/admin/wgrane\\_pliki/file-opinianranowaustawatk17122015-13851.pdf](http://www.adwokatura.pl/admin/wgrane_pliki/file-opinianranowaustawatk17122015-13851.pdf).

<sup>27</sup> Available at: [http://www.hfhr.pl/wp-content/uploads/2015/12/HFPC\\_TK\\_opinia\\_17122015.pdf](http://www.hfhr.pl/wp-content/uploads/2015/12/HFPC_TK_opinia_17122015.pdf).

<sup>28</sup> The common argument presented in those opinion is that ineffective procedure before the Constitutional Court violates a constitutional right to court (art. 45) and a right to a constitutional complaint (art. 79).

session.<sup>29</sup> On 28 December 2015, the President of Poland signed the bill which was published in the Official Journal on the same day.<sup>30</sup>

The newly adopted Act on the Constitutional Tribunal introduces numerous significant changes concerning the functioning of the Tribunal. First of all, the General Assembly (*Zgromadzenie Ogólne*) of the Tribunal (deciding on disciplinary proceedings, budget and internal issues of the Tribunal) shall be composed of at least 13 judges and shall make decisions by a majority of 2/3. Furthermore, the Minister of Justice or the President of Poland might initiate disciplinary proceedings against the judges of the Constitutional Tribunal. The General Assembly is entitled to motion the Sejm to terminate the tenure of a judge of the Constitutional Tribunal.

The amended Act also includes changes in relation to the process of ruling by the Constitutional Tribunal. The Act states that, as a rule, the Tribunal shall rule on a case in the full panel composed of at least 13 judges; however, cases initiated by a constitutional complaint or a judicial questions shall be considered by the panel of 7 judges. The cases should be examined in the order in which they were lodged with the Tribunal. The hearing cannot take place earlier than 3 months after the notification of the parties about its date; in cases considered by the full panel, such a period is 6 months. In the light of the Act, the judgments issued by the full panel of judges shall be made by a majority of 2/3 votes. The intertemporal provisions state that the new law is applicable to cases pending before the Tribunal, unless the parties were notified about the panel which will rule on the case. In cases pending before the Tribunal, the hearing can take place after 45 days since the notification of the parties on the date of the hearing (if the case is ruled by the full panel – after 3 months), but not later than after 2 years after the Act enters into force.

The first President of the Supreme Court, the Human Rights Defender and two groups of MPs submitted motions to the Constitutional Tribunal to verify whether the newly adopted Act on the Constitutional Tribunal violates the Constitution.

It is the subject of public discussion whether the Tribunal will rule on this case on the basis of the procedure established by the Act on 22 December 2015, which provides, among other things, that the full panel shall be composed of at least 13 judges and that the case should be decided by a 2/3 majority.

### **The discontinuation of proceedings before the Constitutional Tribunal concerning the resolutions reversing the appointment of 5 judges**

On 11<sup>th</sup> January 2016, the Constitutional Tribunal informed the public that it had discontinued the proceedings concerning the appointment of 5 judges in October 2015. In December 2015, a group of MPs submitted a motion to the Constitutional Tribunal to verify whether the Parliament's resolutions of November 2015 reversing the initial appointment of judges and next five resolutions of December 2015 appointing five new judges did or did not violate the Constitution. The Constitutional Tribunal recognised that the resolutions of November 2015 could not be considered normative acts, so as a consequence the proceeding in this regard had to be discontinued. In reference to the resolutions of December 2015, the Constitutional Tribunal ruled that they were non-legislative measures through which the Parliament would be able to execute its creative function in relation to organs of public authorities.

### **Two judges appointed in December 2015 assigned to works in the Constitutional Tribunal**

On 12 January 2016, the President of the Constitutional Tribunal assigned two judges appointed by the Parliament in December 2015 to rule on cases submitted to the Tribunal. After this decision, there are 12 judges of the Constitutional Tribunal assigned to cases.

## **3. Media law**

On 28<sup>th</sup> December 2015, a group of MPs presented a draft amendment to the Act on public media.

---

<sup>29</sup> The final voting took place at 3.50 a.m. on 24 December 2015.

<sup>30</sup> Official Journal, position no. 2217 – <http://dziennikustaw.gov.pl/du/2015/2217/1>.

The amendment gives the minister responsible for the State Treasury the power to appoint and dismiss members of public media organisations' management and supervisory boards. Furthermore, senior officials of the public media will no longer be selected through open and public competition procedures. The amendment also states that members of the governing bodies of public media organisations will no longer serve a certain term of office. Last but not least, as of the Act's entry into force, the incumbent senior management of TVP S.A. and Polskie Radio S.A., state-operated TV and radio organisations, will be removed from office.

The Act also limits the role of the National Broadcasting Council. The role of the National Broadcasting Council is described in the Constitution. The Council's pivotal role is to protect the freedom of speech, right to information and public interest in public radio and television. So far, the National Broadcasting Council has taken part in appointing the members of the management and supervisory boards. The Council had the right to organise open and public competition procedures for candidates of the boards' members. The limitation of the Council's role in this process may raise serious doubts concerning the compatibility of the amendment with the Constitution.

Similarly to the Act on the Constitutional Tribunal, the Act on public media was also adopted at an accelerated pace without any consultations with civil society. The Parliament started working on the amendment on 28<sup>th</sup> December and the Act was adopted on 31<sup>st</sup> December. The President signed it on 7<sup>th</sup> January 2016. Furthermore, this Act also came into force on the day of its publication in the Journal of Laws (7<sup>th</sup> January 2016). Immediately after, the persons nominated by the member of the government replaced the chiefs of the Polish Television and Polish Radio. On 8 January 2016 Jacek Kurski, Law and Justice National MP in 2005-2009 and European Parliament MP in 2009-2014 has been nominated by the Minister of State Treasury as the Head of the Polish Public Television. Jan Pawlicki, former journalist of TV Republika, has been nominated as TV Channel One Director, after his predecessor Piotr Radziszewski resigned. Maciej Chmiel, a TV producer, has been appointed as the Television Channel Two Director. The new head of the television Channel TVP Kultura is Mateusz Matyszkowicz, previously journalist of right wing "Frona Lux" weekly.

A board of three members have been nominated for the Polish Radio: Barbara Stanisławczyk (journalist), Jerzy Kłosiński (journalist) and Marcin Palade (sociologist). On 8 January 2016 the Director of Polish Radio One ("Jedynka"), Kamil Dąbrowa, was dismissed. The same day, Magdalena Jethon, the Director of Polish Radio Three ("Trójka") resigned from the post.

On 8 January 2016 a number of journalists and editors preparing information programs at the public TVP was dismissed (e.g. presenter Piotr Kraško, director of newsroom Maciej Czajkowski).

On 9 January 2016 in Warsaw and 19 cities of Poland protest were organized in order to demonstrate the discontent with the political influence on media.

The members of the governing party state that this regulation is a "preliminary Act on public media" and will be followed up by an act comprehensively reforming the public media. However, there is no information available on when the draft of the main Act will be presented.

#### **4. Changes to the Act on Police**

In January, the Sejm adopted the amendment to the Act on the Police and several other acts concerning the functioning of secret services. The purpose of this amendment is to implement the Constitutional Tribunal's judgement of 2014. In this judgement, the Tribunal noted that Polish law does not foresee any independent supervision of access to telecommunication data (e.g. phone billings, location data). The Tribunal argued that this gap should be regulated.

The amendment poses a serious threat to human rights protection, including the right to privacy. First of all, the amendment fails to create a system of independent control over the actions of law enforcement services. In the light of this amendment, every six months the Police and secret services are obliged to send to the court a summary of obtained data. In such a case, the court's control over this data is post factum and it might not be comprehensive enough. The court is entitled (but not obliged) to verify the operational material gathered by the security services. The court can inform the services about the results of the control, but is not entitled to order any steps, e.g. to delete the data.

Furthermore, the services' powers to obtain data have been extended to "online data", which will be accessible through ITC networks without the obligation to submit a relevant application before each instance of data collection. The draft includes a regulation in light of which the Police or secret services will have a possibility to sign agreements with telecommunication operators. On the basis of such agreements, the Police and secret services will have permanent access to "online data"/internet data in real-time.

Last but not least, the draft also fails to guarantee protection of information covered by professional confidentiality

obligations, such as attorney-client privilege or reporter's privilege. Pursuant to the draft law, such information shall be disclosed to the prosecutor, who – however – has no power to have it destroyed, and later to the court.

The amendment will be considered by the Senat (the second chamber of the Parliament) at the session scheduled for 28-29 January 2016.

## 5. Changes in the justice system – reunification of the Offices of the Minister of Justice and Prosecutor General

In December 2015, the Parliament started the works on the amendments to the Act on Prosecution. The main change postulated by this draft is the reunification of the Offices of the Minister of Justice and Prosecutor General.

Until 2009, the Minister of Justice acted also as the Prosecutor General. Such an convergence of roles posed a potential (or sometimes real) danger of subjecting the prosecutors' work to political influences. In 2009, the reform of the prosecution was introduced. In the light of this reform, these two offices were separated and the Prosecutor General's office became independent, although Prosecutor General had an obligation to present annual summaries of its work before the Parliament.

The now-proceeded draft aims at reversing the reform. In the light of the new draft, the prosecution will be entirely supervised by the Ministry of Justice. Furthermore, the draft widens the competences of the Prosecutor General. For example, the Prosecutor General will be able to appoint or dismiss a head of the prosecution unit on the basis of a discretionary decision without the necessity of carrying out a transparent and open recruitment process. Furthermore, the Prosecutor General will be able to issue decisions regarding specific investigations. The Prosecutor General will also have the power to release to the media the information from any investigation.

The draft is still proceeded in the Sejm.

## 6. Summary of events

June 2015	The Parliament adopted an amendment to the Act on the Constitutional Tribunal. One of the provisions of the amendment enable the Parliament to appoint 5 judges by the end of the Parliament's tenure.
8 October 2015	The Sejm appointed 5 judges of the Constitutional Tribunal.
25 October 2015	Parliamentary elections.
17 November 2015	The Parliament started work on the amendment to the Act on the Constitutional Tribunal.
20 November 2015	The President signed the amendment.
25 November 2015	The Parliament adopted resolutions that aim to cancel the appointment of 5 judges in October 2015.
2 December 2015	The Sejm appointed 5 new judges. The President swore them into office.
3 December 2015	The Constitutional Tribunal stated that the amendment of June 2015 violated the Constitution. The Tribunal ruled that the Sejm was entitled to appoint only 3 out of 5 judges. The Tribunal stated that the President should swear the judges into office immediately.
9 December 2015	The Constitutional Tribunal ruled on the amendment of November 2015. The Tribunal found the majority of the introduced regulations to be unconstitutional.
11 December 2015	The Chief of the Chancellery of the Prime Minister requested an explanation from the President of the Constitutional Tribunal. The publication of the judgment from 3 December is postponed until the explanations is submitted.
15 December 2015	The Parliament started work on the next (third) amendment to the Constitutional Tribunal.
23 December 2015	The Parliament started the works on the Act on Police.
24 December 2015	The Parliament started the works on the amendment to the Act on the Prosecution.

24 December 2015	The Parliament adopted the amendment to the Act on the Constitutional Tribunal.
28 December 2015	The President signed the amended Act on the Constitutional Tribunal. The Act came into force.
28 December 2015	The Parliament started work on the Act on public media (described also as a preliminary Act on public media).
7 January 2016	The President signed the amended Act on public media. The Act came into force.
8 January 2016	The persons nominated by the member of the government replaced the chiefs of Polish Television and Polish Radio. The chiefs of the Radio Programme 1 and Programme 3 were relieved of their duties.
11 January 2016	The Constitutional Tribunal informed about the decision on discontinuation the proceeding concerning the resolutions shifting the appointment of judges in October 2015 and appointing new judges in December 2015.
12 January 2016	The President of the Constitutional Tribunal informed about assigning to cases two judges appointed in December 2015.
15 January 2016	Sejm adopted the amendments to the Act on Police. The draft was transferred to the Senat.